UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

V. KORI MONSANTO Date of Original Judgment: August 4, 2009 Date of Last Amended Judgment: Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Redu Upon motion of the defendant the Director of \$3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United \$994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to a sentencing factors set fac	f the Bureau sed based on d States Sent	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. n a guideline sentencing range that has
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Last Amended Judgment: Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Redu Upon motion of the defendant the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into	Pro se Defendant's A uction Pursu f the Bureau esed based on d States Sent	22623-058 Attorney uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. n a guideline sentencing range that has
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Redu Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into	Pro se Defendant's A uction Pursu f the Bureau sed based on d States Sent	Attorney uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. n a guideline sentencing range that has
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Redu Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into	Defendant's Auction Pursu f the Bureau sed based on d States Sent	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. n a guideline sentencing range that has
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Redu Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into a	Defendant's Auction Pursu f the Bureau sed based on d States Sent	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. n a guideline sentencing range that has
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into	uction Pursion f the Bureau based based on distates Sent	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. n a guideline sentencing range that has
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into	f the Bureau sed based on d States Sent	of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C.
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into	sed based on d States Sent	a guideline sentencing range that has
	-	policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
	eviously imi	posed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of		ced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE	T (Prior to Any	(Danasturas)
Original Offense Level: 31		Offense Level: 31
Criminal History Category: VI		istory Category: VI
Original Guideline Range: 188-235 months		Guideline Range: 188-235 months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELIN		<u> </u>
 The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rang The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than to of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less to the reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range Other (explain): Due to the defendant's classification as a Control of the calculations and Amendment 750 is not approximately control of the calculations. 	the guideline than the ame e. Career Offen	ended guideline range. Inder, there is no change in the guideline
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated	d August 4	, 2009 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
II IS SO ONDENED.	Signed:	: June 25, 2012
Order Date: June 25, 2012	10 - 10 - 11	,
Effective Date:		Of Cours